# DEPARTMENT OF STATE

WASHINGTON

# CONFIDENTIAL

13 APR 1967

Mr. William N. Morell, Jr. Director, Research and Reports Central Intelligence Agency Headquarters Building, Room 4F18 Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Morell:

I would appreciate your comments on the enclosed draft telegram on exception case delegation of authority and COCOM List Review plans. I am also enclosing a copy of my letter to Commerce which provides some background.

Sincerely yours,

Joseph K. Greenwald

Deputy Assistant Secretary for International Trade Policy

#### Enclosures:

- 1. Draft telegram
- 2. Letter to Commerce

State Dept. review completed

11000-

CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release 2002/08/20 : CIA-RDP70S00385R008800030065-6

### CONFIDENTIAL

April 13, 1967

Defense-State Draft Telegram to Paris

STATE

NATUS

EXCON

SUBJECT: Delegation of Authority for Certain CCCOM Exception Cases and List Review Plans

- 1. USDel is authorized to approve GOCOM exception cases for export to Eastern Europe and the USSR of any repeat any embargoed item (except for Battle Act Category A items) if for peaceful and civil end uses.
- 2. USDel is authorized to approve or propose COCOM procedural changes when the revised procedures will not affect embargo coverage.
- 3. FYI. US plans to submit COCOM list review proposals October 15, 1967, and to suggest at that time that COCOM consider these in January 1968. We will be reviewing proposals from the last COCOM List Review which would have been agreed except for US or French vetoes. END FYI.

E/EWT:WARoot:11b

### CONFIDENTIAL

# CONFIDENCE.

13 APR 1967

Mr. Laractice C. Acquain Acting Addistant Secretary for Descript and Laternational Business De, armount of Commercia Jastington, D.C.

TRUE LANCES:

I vould an east delegation of outhority and COCON List hereof class.

I note that the attachment to Acting becautary Trowbeldie's March a latter states that Connerce is leady to review the proposal for delegation of authority or exception cases to user tain whether contain sobarno items can be treved at this manner, and, if so, subject to shar conditions. The deaft would not instruct the USBel to approve automatically all such cases. However, we see no convision, tassou for processing all these cases in Washington. Then the USBel feels in need of additional technical and intelligence review, he would ask Pashington for assistance.

In developing our COMMI List Review plans, he have taken into consideration the auggestron in the Comperts aper to use removal of lusts so reverge to persuade par COCOM others to agree to additiona. We believe that soview of the CCL should be given girosity over mother COCOM List Meview. Hanger, by concentrating on COCOM proposals previously substitted, the each of preparing additional recimiest evaluations would be kept to a minimum.

Sincerely yours,

Joseph A. Greenwald Deputy Assistant Secretary for Internalized Trade Solary

Lasilosnie:

Daire buleriou

E/EWT:WARoot:wtk

17 April 1967

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

Delegation of Authority for Certain COCOM Exception Cases and SUBJECT: List Review Plans

Pargraph 1 -

hot can

The necessity for review by CIA and agreement by Commerce, Defense, State, and sometimes other departments on 100 to 150 exception cases a year have caused may delays which have been embarrasing to the USDel and detrimental to the US image in COCOM. Under the proposed set-up the USDEL will use the discretion proposed for him in cases where the situation is apparently quite clear on the basis of information supplied by the Participating Country requesting exception approval or on the basis of precedent. The exception request documents will continue to be distributed to all interested parties in Washington in the same manner as they now are so that if any appropriate agency sees an apparent problem there will be time to alert the USDEL to await instructions from Washington before approving the case. We see no reason that the proposed arrangement will prevent effective use of pertinent intelligence.

No intelligence factor involved here. Paragraph 2 -

Paragraph 3 -

The 15 October 1967 presentation by the USDEL of List Review proposals probably would require CIA to make any new contributions required by about 15 August. Mr. Root has listed about 65 items which he defined as having been proposed unsuccessfully for revision in the 1965 List Review and failed to be revised because of the objection of either the US or France. Most of these items, however, either are not disagreed because of aspects of the problem or would not require significant updating of intelligence comment.

25X1A

GROUP 1 Encluded kon automati Cocapagne des